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Dear Mr. President:

The members of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights have adopted for transmission to you the enclosed statement on Police Practices and the Preservation of Civil Rights.

We are in the process of preparing a statutory national report on police practices based on field studies by our own staff and a number of our State Advisory Committees, a national consultation, and public hearings in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and Houston, Texas.

We have concluded, however, that in the light of current developments in Miami, Florida, and elsewhere we should issue this statement in advance of the completion of our statutory report.

Violations of the civil rights of our people by some members of police departments is a serious national problem, especially in light of the anguish that they have caused within minority groups. In our statement we have made recommendations for Federal action. We believe that the acceptance and implementation of these recommendations would make it clear that the Federal Government intends to act in an increasingly vigorous manner to remedy intolerable practices. In our statement we also recommend standards to which we believe communities should adhere in
such areas as police recruitment, training, use of deadly force, citizen complaints, and discipline. We urge public and private leaders in our communities to consider adopting these standards as community goals and then developing action programs designed to bring about their implementation. As a nation, we must do everything we can to end police abuse and the civil violence that it promotes.
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and destructive violence that occurred in Miami, Florida, following the acquittals of white police officers charged with the killing of a black civilian who was pursued in a high-speed chase for a minor traffic violation. Over the past several years, incidents of excessive use of force by police have triggered widespread tension and community unrest in several communities around the country, including Birmingham, Alabama; Denver, Colorado; Houston, Texas; Jackson, Mississippi; Los Angeles, California; Memphis, Tennessee; New York, New York; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; the Tampa Bay area of Florida; and Wrightsville, Georgia.

Perhaps the most valuable asset that a police agency can possess is credibility with the community it serves. Effective policing depends to a large degree on the cooperation and support of residents, and these can be obtained only where the community perceives the police force as working in its behalf, not as the "enemy" to be feared and avoided. Within minority communities the perception that police abuse of authority is discriminatory is reinforced by national statistics which show that disproportionately large numbers of minority civilians are victims of brutality and use of deadly force.\(^2\) This remains a potentially explosive issue that not only inhibits effective policing, but in fact undermines the public security.

In accordance with its mandate to "study and collect information [and to] . . . appraise the laws and policies of the Federal Government with respect to discrimination or denials of equal protection of the laws . . . in the administration of justice,"\(^3\) the Commission has consistently focused its attention on problems of police misconduct and their implications for civil rights in America. In 1961, the Commission reported that "police brutality in the United States is a serious and continuing problem. . . ."\(^4\) Other Commission reports in the 1960s documented the disproportionately low levels of minority employment in municipal police departments\(^5\) and the slower police response in some ghetto areas.\(^6\) These studies were extensively cited by other commissions formed to study the outbreak of urban disorders in 1967.\(^7\)

During the 1970s, the Commission continued to report on serious problems involving police misconduct in specific localities and with respect to


particular minority communities. Many of the State Advisory Committees to the Commission have also conducted studies of police practices at the local level, including a 1976 study of police-community relations in Miami and Dade County, Florida. Many civilian complaints of police brutality and of inadequate services in minority neighborhoods were reported. The report of that project emphasized the importance of having a police force that reflects the racial and cultural composition of the public it serves. The Florida Advisory Committee called on city and county officials to intensify their efforts to recruit, hire, and promote more minority and women police officers and to improve civilian complaint procedures. The report further urged that departments should take steps to identify officers who are repeatedly cited in complaints and to ensure that they receive appropriate counseling or discipline.

Since the Miami report, Advisory Committees in Arizona, North Dakota, and South Dakota have studied police practices in communities with large American Indian populations. The Kentucky Advisory Committee called for greater minority and female representation in the bureau of State police. A Tennessee Advisory Committee investigation and subsequent Commission hearing on police practices in Memphis prompted an 18-month investigation by the U.S. Department of Justice. This investigation led to an April 1980 agreement with the Memphis Police Department to end all discriminatory practices in the provision of services and to provide officers with training in conflict resolution and the proper use of deadly force.

In 1978, in response to an ever-increasing number of complaints alleging police abuse, the Commission undertook its national police practices project. The first stage of the project consisted of research and field work that culminated in the December 1978 consultation on police practices and the preservation of civil rights. This consultation brought together over 30 experts and spokespersons who explored the vital issues of the police role, community perceptions of the police, selection and training, and remedies for abuse.

---

9 Florida Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, Policed by the White Male Minority (October 1976).
10 Arizona Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, Justice in Flagstaff (1977); South Dakota Advisory Committee, Liberty and Justice For All (1977); North Dakota Advisory Committee, Native American Justice Issues in North Dakota (1978).
13 The transcript of that consultation, Police Practices and the Preservation of Civil Rights, 179 pp., is available upon request.
more difficult because the offender is held to a higher standard in that it must be proved that he or she intended to accomplish the precise act prohibited by the law rather than simply proving that the consequences of the act were substantially certain to occur, which is all that is required for a showing of general intent. The "specific intent" requirement has proved in practice to be an impediment to successful prosecution in that its application is often confusing to juries. Secondly, while section 242 is the principal tool in the Federal criminal code for prosecuting incidents of police misconduct, its violation is in most cases a misdemeanor punishable by not more than 1 year in prison. Only when death results does the crime become a felony, punishable by up to life in prison.

While prosecutions for offenses can and should be sought under existing law, the Commission on Civil Rights believes that it is imperative for the Department of Justice to have at its disposal more effective statutory tools to prosecute unlawful police misconduct. For example, the requirement of a conspiracy was introduced during the Reconstruction Era to deal with the type of violence typical of that era. Elimination of the conspiracy requirement will enable prosecution of the individual acts of violence more characteristic of today. The Commission therefore recommends that:

- 18 U.S.C. 241 be amended to: (1) eliminate the restriction that the victim be a citizen; the Commission believes there is no reason to shield an offender solely because of the citizenship status of the victim; and (2) remove the requirement that prohibited actions must be proven to be a part of a conspiracy.
- 18 U.S.C. 242 be amended to: (1) remove the impediment to prosecution presented by the judicially imposed "specific intent" requirement; and (2) treat unlawful acts of violence committed under color of law as felonies under any circumstances.

Legislation proposing the revision of sections 241 and 242 consistent with these recommendations is currently pending in both houses of Congress, as a part of a proposed overall revision of the Federal Criminal Code. If consideration of this overall revision is delayed, we urge that these recommendations be considered separately so that they can be implemented within a short period of time.

Litigation Alleging Patterns of Police Misconduct

The Federal criminal civil rights statutes discussed above are designed to apply to individual acts of misconduct or to conspiracies to commit such acts. Cases may arise, however, in which a systematic pattern of police misconduct within a police department is alleged. In such a case the At-
torney General should have the power to bring suit to enjoin the continuation of these practices, but his authority to do so is currently in doubt.

In the case of United States v. City of Philadelphia,17 filed by the Department of Justice in 1979, the Department alleged the existence of a pervasive pattern of police abuse in Philadelphia that resulted in the denial of basic Federal constitutional rights to persons of all races, colors, and national origins. The complaint further alleged that police department and city officials actually facilitated the abusive practices by maintaining policies and procedures that thwarted the investigation of complaints and shielded the officers involved from any kind of discipline or scrutiny. As a remedy, the Department sought, in part, to enjoin the defendant officials from engaging in the allegedly unconstitutional acts, practices, policies, and procedures. The Federal district court concluded that no statutory authority, express or implied, empowered the Attorney General to bring such a suit and dismissed the major portion of the case without ever reaching the merits of the serious charges alleged. The Commission commends the Department of Justice for appealing this decision to the Third Circuit Court of Appeals.

The Commission on Civil Rights believes that the Justice Department should have the authority to deal with patterns and practices of police misconduct. The Civil Rights Act of 1957, which created this agency, contained a provision that would clearly have given the Attorney General such authority. That portion of the act, however, failed to survive the floor debates in the Senate and was deleted. Therefore, if the appeal that has been taken in the Philadelphia case is unsuccessful, the Commission recommends that:

- Congress enact legislation specifically authorizing civil actions by the Attorney General against appropriate government and police department officials to enjoin proven patterns and practices of misconduct in a given department.18

Allocation of Resources and Organizational Emphasis

While it is absolutely essential to have the proper legal authority to pursue unlawful conduct by law enforcement officials, it is also essential to have sufficient staff and resources to enforce these laws effectively. The responsibility for enforcing exiting Federal criminal civil rights laws in the area of police misconduct rests with the Criminal Section of the Civil

17United States v. City of Philadelphia, No. 79–2937 (E.D. Pa., filed Aug. 13, 1979). An appeal from this decision is currently pending.
18This Commission recommended similar legislation in its 1970 report on Mexican Americans and the Administration of Justice in the Southwest.
Rights Division of the Department of Justice and with the U.S. Attorney offices located throughout the country. At present, only 21 of the Civil Rights Division's 168 attorneys are assigned to the Criminal Section, despite the heavy caseload and backlog confronting the Section. The Department of Justice acknowledges the need for increased staffing in the Criminal Section and has requested the budgetary authority to hire additional personnel.

The Commission recommends that:

• The Congress approve the hiring of additional personnel for the Criminal Section of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice in order to make it possible for the Department to handle cases involving alleged violations of civil rights by police effectively and expeditiously.

• The Congress also provide adequate staffing for civil rights enforcement in the U.S. Attorney offices.

The Federal Role in Conciliation, Data Collection, and Funding

The Commission strongly believes that the Federal Government must also address the problem of police brutality outside of the litigation context. The Community Relations Service (CRS), created by the Civil Rights Act of 1964 to assist troubled communities in resolving racial and ethnic disputes, plays an important role in this regard.

CRS carries out its mandate through the process of conciliation and mediation and by providing technical assistance. It also intervenes in disputes whenever peaceful relations among citizens are threatened by racial or ethnic conflict. The Commission believes that the mediation and conciliation efforts of CRS have several advantages over litigation, especially in cases involving police-citizen disputes:

• The parties can agree to remedies that may not otherwise be imposed by a court (i.e., a change in a department's use of deadly force policy).

• A just and reasonable settlement of the dispute may result more quickly than in litigation.

• The residue of anger and bitterness that often follows court orders is less likely to occur when a mutually agreed-upon settlement is reached.

• There are substantial financial savings to both parties.

---

19It should also be noted that police brutality cases are very complex and time consuming to litigate. According to Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights, Drew S. Days III, it takes about one year on the average to take a typical police brutality prosecution from receipt of complaint through trial.
In the past several years, CRS has noted a steady increase in the number of complaints it receives from minorities alleging use of excessive force by the police. A comparison of the first 6 months of fiscal years 1979 and 1980 reveals an increase of 142 percent in CRS cases involving allegations of excessive use of force by the police.

Unfortunately, CRS is very limited in both staff and resources. At the present time, CRS employs a total staff of 111 divided among the Washington, 10 regional, and 4 temporary offices. Its budget for FY 1980 is just under $5 million.

The Commission recommends that:

• The President and Congress urgently address the need for the services of the CRS and provide for an expansion of its staff and resources so that the important work it is doing in mediating police-citizen conflicts can be extended.

During the course of the police project, the Commission has noted the lack of any reliable national-level (and in some cases local-level) source of information about police-citizen assaults and shootings. While the uniform crime reports of the Federal Government contain information regarding assaults on and shootings of law enforcement officers, no corresponding information is reported regarding similar conduct by police.

The Commission agrees with other commentators that the existence of a national-level source of information in this area is necessary so that governmental units and police departments alike can objectively evaluate their statutes, policies, practices, and procedures regarding use of excessive and deadly force by law enforcement officers. Learning of the experience of other communities should assist in the development of new policies by police departments. Should a police chief face resistance to a new policy, he or she can possibly point to its successful implementation in other communities, in an effort to overcome this resistance.

The Commission recommends that:

• The Federal Bureau of Investigation be directed to collect, compile, and make available statistics and information regarding assaults on and shootings of civilians by law enforcement officers. These data should be reported and analyzed by city, circumstances, and characteristics of the parties involved. In order that this information be useful, police departments must keep accurate internal records and use standard classifications and terminology.
II. STATE AND LOCAL ISSUES

As a result of our studies and hearings, we believe that leaders from both the public and private sectors in our communities should play a major role in setting the communities’ goals in the area of the administration of justice. In some communities broadly based coalitions that could undertake such leadership already exist; in others they would have to be brought into existence. Such coalitions should also play a continuous and significant role in developing support for programs designed to achieve these goals. In far too many cases administration of justice is considered to be the sole responsibility of a few appointed or elected officials and is not looked upon as a community-wide responsibility.

Here, based on our studies, are some of the areas that we believe should be included in any overall plan for strengthening administration of justice within a community.

Selection and Training of Police Officers

1. Major emphasis must be placed on the selection and training of police officers.

Selection and training methods are topics of vital concern to those attempting to influence police practices. These processes offer the opportunity to identify and select individuals who are best equipped to perform in the police role and to assure that they possess the skills and sensitivity conducive to effective service before they enter the closely-knit police culture. These processes also offer the opportunity to identify, select, and train the persons who are in or will be promoted to positions of leadership in the department. Fundamental to a successful police department are the sensitivity, responsibility, and training of such persons. A class of new recruits, carefully chosen and thoughtfully trained, can act as a catalyst for changes within the existing police force. Budgetary restrictions currently facing many cities, however, will in many cases reduce the number of new officers hired, making inservice training of current officers even more important.

2. A police force must reflect the racial and ethnic composition of the community it serves.

The Commission has frequently called upon law enforcement officials to work toward developing a police force that is reflective of the racial and
ethnic composition of the community it serves, including persons who can speak the major languages spoken in the community. Nearly all police departments continue to fall far short of that goal, however. While many departments have now begun actively recruiting minority and female applicants, such recruitment efforts will be ineffective unless the department leadership clearly demonstrates that it is working to eliminate police officer discrimination against members of the minority communities. A department must also demonstrate that minority and female officers will be fairly treated in matters of assignment, pay, and advancement. As credibility is pursued, the department should undertake an aggressive recruitment effort in accordance with the minority recruitment standards of the National Advisory Commission on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals.20

Even the most diverse group of applicants will fail to produce a diverse class of recruits if the department’s selection instruments and requirements disproportionately disqualify minority and female applicants. Written examinations must be revised to ensure job-relatedness, and other prerequisites must be scrutinized to determine their relevance to adequate performance on the job.21

The relative importance of entry-level recruitment programs will decrease as some departments attempt to deal with the financial crisis facing many municipalities. Additional efforts must, therefore, be made to retain and promote minority and female officers already on the force. If layoffs or reductions in force are contemplated, the department must assure that any progress already made toward obtaining a representative police force is not wiped out by losing the recently hired minority and female personnel.

The Commission and others have noted that many police recruits enter law enforcement careers with significant misperceptions about police work.22 They are often surprised to find that a major portion of a police officer’s time is expended on administrative and service-oriented tasks that bear no resemblance to high-speed auto chases and shootouts portrayed in motion pictures and on television. It is, therefore, important that police agencies stress the service role of the police during recruitment and training to prepare the new officer to assume the actual duties of the job.

21For example, recent studies have shown that height requirements which disproportionately excluded some minorities and women are actually unrelated to a police officer’s ability to perform effectively. White and Bloch, Police Officer Height and Selected Aspects of Performance (Police Foundation and International Association of Chiefs of Police in cooperation with the Urban Institute, 1975).
3. Civil service rules and collective bargaining agreements that unreasonably restrict the chief police executive’s authority to discipline or dismiss violence-prone officers should be revised in light of the overriding public interest in safe and professional law enforcement.

Such an objective can be achieved without depriving the police officer of the due process designed to protect him or her from arbitrary and capricious action. Police agencies should devise a management information system that reliably identifies both problem officers and supervisors as well as administrative inadequacies that appear to foster unnecessary violence. The system should, at a minimum, keep records, by individual officers, of civilian complaints; officer or civilian injuries; reports of assault on an officer, resisting arrest, or disorderly conduct; firearms discharges; and supervisory evaluations.23 When an officer’s record indicates a problem, he or she should be reassigned to a nonsensitive position until steps are taken to evaluate the problem and to counsel, retrain, discipline, or dismiss, as appropriate.

4. Training of police officers must be based on the development of clear, consistently enforced, written policies and support from department leadership.

While officer training has received increasing attention in recent years, the Commission believes that training must go hand in hand with the development of clear, consistently enforced, written policies and support from department leadership. The chief police executive must, of course, ensure that the training offered is given by professional and effective instructors who are aware of modern teaching methods, that class size and number of hours are conducive to learning, and that adequate support services are made available. Most important, however, the training must accurately reflect department policy and prepare officers for the problems they will actually face on duty.

Training in human relations, conflict resolution, and minority cultures and languages should be offered to prepare the recruit to deal with a pluralistic constituency. An ability to communicate with persons whose backgrounds and languages differ from those of the officer not only increases the rapport between officers and civilians who can provide help and information, but decreases the chance that conflict will develop due to

misinterpretation. Neglect of this area feeds a general attitude of isolation and prejudice among officers.

Following completion of preliminary training, all officers should enter a probationary work period to ease the transition from the formal instruction of the police academy to actual duty. During this period, which should last several months, the new recruit should be closely supervised and coached by specially trained officers who evaluate the recruit's progress at frequent intervals. Further training in certain problem areas may be necessary. Such a program will help to guarantee that the new officer does not dismiss formal training in departmental policies as "just talk" once he or she confronts the realities of police work.

Police departments should operate a varied and creative inservice training program to reinforce and further develop officers' understanding of laws and policies and communications skills. The department should operate a counseling or referral service for officers seeking assistance in handling job- and home-related stress. Such a counseling program should be free to the officer, and the department leadership should encourage all officers to partake of its services without stigma.

Frequent seminars on police-community cooperation should be arranged utilizing resources from the community. These forums should maximize the opportunity for frank exchange between community leaders and police officers.

Police Use of Deadly Force

Police practices and laws governing the use of deadly force must reflect concern for safeguarding the lives of officers, bystanders, and suspects.

One of the most important areas in which police officers should receive extensive instruction is the appropriate use of firearms and other weapons. This instruction should be based on a written departmental policy on the use of deadly force that preferably has the approval of both the executive and legislative bodies of the jurisdiction in question.

In the absence of a definitive departmental policy, the applicable State law governs the circumstances under which an officer may use deadly force. Several States have enacted "fleeing felon" statutes that typically authorize a police officer to use whatever force he or she believes necessary, including deadly force, in order to apprehend an individual suspected of committing a felony. These statutes have been widely, and we think pro-

24The National Advisory Commission on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals recommends a 4-month field training program. NAC, 396.
properly, criticized.\textsuperscript{25} Even if it were legally permissible to shoot a person who has just committed a felony (for which the penalty imposed after trial will in nearly all cases be much less severe than execution), an officer may have only a few seconds in which to assess the situation and decide whether or not to fire. There is little opportunity to determine the nature of the offense committed, the identity and age of the suspect, the reason for flight, or whether a weapon is being carried. Snap judgments on these factors often lead to tragic, unnecessary shootings and the loss of life. Overly broad statutes in this area, therefore, should be amended to limit strictly the circumstances under which the use of deadly force by the police is authorized.

Even where a "fleeing felon" statute remains in effect, however, the police department's firearms policy can be narrower than the applicable State law on the use of deadly force. The local jurisdiction served by the department and the police department itself can and should reach agreement on the policy that, while keeping in the forefront the question of officer safety, is more protective of the civil rights of civilians.\textsuperscript{26} The circumstances in which deadly force may be used should be limited to those occasions on which it is necessary to protect the officer or another person from death. Training should emphasize alternatives to the use of deadly force in resolving conflict and should also promote officer fitness and proficiency in the use of all issued weapons, so as to discourage a hasty resort to firearms in situations in which other alternatives would suffice.

Complaints Processing, Internal Investigations, and Discipline

The public credibility of any police agency depends largely on the integrity of the internal disciplinary process—that is, the degree of public confidence in the ability of the police to police themselves. Even a police agency exemplary in most areas of practice can experience incidents of abuse of authority or be perceived as abusing its authority, and it is therefore essential that every agency take those steps necessary to enforce its regulations and to maintain its credibility.

1. There must be clearly defined policies, rules, regulations, and guidelines, so that every officer knows what conduct is expected and what will not be condoned.

An underlying validity of any successful internal disciplinary process

\textsuperscript{25} Police Foundation, pp. 43-50, 133. See also discussion in notes 27 and 28.

\textsuperscript{26} For example, police officers serving a congested urban area may be forbidden to shoot at or from moving vehicles due to the danger to bystanders.
depends upon the existence of clearly defined policies, rules, regulations, and guidelines, so that every officer knows what conduct is expected and what will not be condoned. Although it is not possible to provide for every conceivable situation with which an officer may be confronted, precise policies with careful training and guidance can effectively reduce incidents of bad decisions being made in the stress of emergency situations.27 At a minimum, policies are needed to govern the use of firearms and deadly force.28 General arrest procedures and internal discipline are other areas that need to be governed by explicit rules. In addition, specific policies relating to such situations as car chases and the apprehension of burglars in buildings have been effective in reducing both officer and citizen injuries.29

Training in these policies should include discussions and role playing in a variety of simulated situations to assure individual officer understanding of the reasons for the policies and how they can best be implemented at the street level. 30 Policies should be written, and all police personnel should be provided a complete set of current policies and regulations in effect. Frequent retraining and counseling are necessary to emphasize the continuing importance of regulations that deal with the use of weapons and deadly force.

2. There must be a well-developed and recognized system for handling citizens complaints.

Not only must policies be clearly articulated, but their breach must be readily ascertained and appropriately disciplined. Since most police work

27James Fyfe, statement before the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, *Police Practices and the Preservation of Civil Rights*, p. 70. Lieutenant Fyfe described the effectiveness of a change in the New York City Police Department's firearm policies. The new policy treated the officer's gun as essentially a defensive weapon to be used in the protection of life. Following the new policy implementation, there was a dramatic reduction of 75 percent in shootings of what Lieutenant Fyfe termed the "most controversial" category—the "fleeing felon" situations.

28Model policies usually restrict the use of deadly force to situations where there is imminent threat of death, with no apparent alternatives for protecting the officer's life or the life of others. In most circumstances, discharging weapons from or at speeding vehicles should be strictly forbidden. Warning shots should not be permitted, and firearms should not be displayed unless circumstances warrant their use. Police Foundation, pp. 131, 134.

29For example, the Houston Police Department has instituted policies that restrict vehicle chases and that require supervisor approval and backup to secure a building where a burglar is thought to be hiding. Officers alone are not permitted to enter the building. H.P.D. General Orders 500–9 and 900–17, Feb. 1, 1979.

30Training in alternatives to the use of deadly force can be particularly significant in reducing the number of life-threatening situations where an officer will have to use a firearm. Stress training can also be beneficial. An officer who is "convinced that the height of maturity and prowess is to deal with challenges to his authority in a calm, unemotional, and somewhat detached manner" is less likely to escalate a situation to the point at which use of deadly force becomes necessary. Goldstein, *Policing a Free Society*, p. 172.
is not directly supervised, the best information a police agency has regarding its officers’ conduct is obtained through a citizen complaint system. This process consists of four separate stages: the receipt and processing of complaints, the investigation of alleged officer misconduct and reporting of the results of the investigation, determination of whether the allegations have been sustained by the facts, and the imposition of appropriate sanctions in cases of proven officer misconduct. It is essential that the complaint system not only operate effectively, but that the community perceive the system as fair, thorough, quick, and worthy of public trust.

A properly administered complaint review system serves both the special professional interests of the police and the general interests of the community. As a disciplinary device, it can promote and maintain desired standards of conduct among police officers by punishing—and thereby deterring—aberrant behavior. Just as important, it can provide satisfaction to those civilians who are adversely affected by police misconduct.

A necessary prerequisite to a successful citizen complaint process is that citizens be informed about the complaint system and be encouraged to use it. A public education effort is recommended for explaining the complaint process and utilizing the media, various civic organizations, libraries, schools, and community service centers for public announcements, lectures, posters, and brochures. Police substations, storefronts, and community relations offices should have complaint forms and explanatory literature available (in English and any other languages used to a significant extent in the community) and should be able to assist citizens in making complaints. Every effort should be made to reduce any intimidating features that might discourage complainants from reporting incidents of abuse, and possible language and literacy barriers should be taken into consideration at each step. Citizens should be made to feel that the department is interested in their information and appreciative of their concern:

Since citizen complaints are extremely important to police departments, efforts should be made to encourage citizens with grievances to file them. Unfortunately, police officers and departments often regard a citizen complaint as an attack on the police as a whole rather than a complaint against an individual officer, and


\[33\] This discussion focuses on the processing of complaints from sources external to the police department. However, internally generated reports of officer misconduct should be treated in the same manner as the reception, investigation, reporting, and disciplining of complaints from civilians.

\[34\] These standards are recommended variously by NAC, pp. 477, 478; IACP, pp. 49, 51; and Goldstein, p. 173.
therefore, attempt to discourage citizens from filing them. The discouraging of citizen complaints not only deprives a department of valuable information but also convinces the public that the kinds of practices complained about are condoned or even expected.35

While all complaints must be reduced to writing, complaints should be accepted initially whether in person, in writing, or by phone and whether anonymous or made by the victim, an eyewitness, or some other interested party.36 Requiring sworn affidavits or notarized complaints at the initial stage of complaint reception not only may discourage the making of legitimate complaints, but will not guarantee that every complaint is non-frivolous. Complaints should be investigated even when they are anonymous or apparently frivolous, and investigation should continue even if the complainant withdraws cooperation. The purpose of this is twofold: to avoid discouraging the making of complaints and to determine whether the complaint contains other information of value to the department.37

Complaints should be consecutively numbered and chronologically recorded in a central record. The complainant should receive a copy of the complaint and should be informed about the procedures for investigation, and also of appeal rights and the alternative remedies available. The numbering and recording of complaints is necessary to assure that all are accounted for, to maintain control over the entire process, for purposes of security, and to facilitate later statistical analysis. Complete records of complaint reception, investigation, and adjudication should be maintained. Statistical summaries should be published regularly for all police personnel and should also be made available to the public.38

Internal Investigations

The importance of having a specialized unit for internal investigations cannot be overemphasized. An insular unit provides for the development of expertise and consistency in the investigative techniques employed, as well as for the maintenance of security and integrity of the investigative process. The internal investigative unit should receive, process, and file all complaints and maintain control over the conduct of investigations and all records pertaining thereto. That unit should also have investigative responsibility for all complaints and allegations of a serious or criminal nature, and it should report directly to the chief police executive. If

36Ibid.
37Goldstein, p. 173, IACP, p. 48.
38NAC, pp. 477–79; IACP, p. 52.
evidence of criminal misconduct is uncovered, the chief police executive should immediately notify the appropriate prosecuting authority. Where criminal conduct is involved, the internal investigation should take care to protect the rights of the accused and not to impair possible criminal prosecution. Allegations of minor misconduct may be investigated first by line supervisors, but these must be subject to review by the internal affairs unit.\textsuperscript{39}

The internal investigative unit must have ample staff to meet the workload effectively, and the investigators, to work efficiently, must be able to devote their time solely to investigative tasks and not be distracted by other duties. Investigators should have special training in the conduct of internal investigations. It is also important that complaint investigators be available on duty at times and places necessary for public convenience and adequate investigation.\textsuperscript{40}

There are differing views on the appropriate length of assignment to an internal affairs unit. While the National Advisory Commission recommends that rotation of internal investigative personnel be required at least every 18 months,\textsuperscript{41} there are also reasons to favor a longer or even a permanent assignment. Rotation may help increase understanding and acceptance of a new division by broadening the exposure and experience of more persons within the department. Rotation also limits the hardship of performing what is admittedly an unpleasant task, and it probably diminishes the likelihood of corruption within the division. On the other hand, rotation reduces the effectiveness of investigation that could be developed through experience. It may also make personnel more vulnerable to the pressures of investigating fellow officers with whom one worked 6 months ago or with whom one may be assigned to work 6 months hence.

There is a need for detailed written investigative procedures for the conduct of internal investigations, providing for thoroughness, consistency, respect for individual rights, and maintenance of strict confidentiality. Internal investigations must be conducted with at least that degree of skill and effort devoted to the investigation by police of felony crimes where the suspect is known. Investigations should be swift, certain, fair, and closely monitored, and both the complainant and the accused officer should be notified of the results at the completion of the investigation.\textsuperscript{42} If there is undue delay in completing the investigation, timely notification of the reasons for delay in processing is also needed. Although some in-

\textsuperscript{39}NAC, pp. 480, 487; IACP, pp. 51, 59.
\textsuperscript{40}NAC, pp. 480–83.
\textsuperscript{41}Ibid., pp. 480, 482.
\textsuperscript{42}NAC, pp. 483, 484; IACP, p. 61.
vestigations will naturally require more time than others, the complainant and the accused should not have to wait longer than 30 days without being apprised of the progress and current status of the investigation.

Disposition and Sanctions

The responsibility of the investigative unit is to investigate, to determine the facts of the case, and to report these directly to the chief executive of the police agency. It is the ultimate responsibility of the chief police executive to review the investigation to assure its thoroughness and to require more where it is found to be inadequate or deficient. The chief police executive must make the determination of whether the facts sustain the allegation, but an adjudicative board made up of personnel from within or without the agency or a mixture of both can advise and assist the chief in that determination. If such a board conducts hearings, the accused officer should be afforded the same opportunities for representation and presentation of evidence as are provided for the presentation of the case against him or her. Appeal should be available to both the officer and the complainant.43

Outside review of disciplinary decisions in cases of alleged misconduct is one way to enhance the perception that justice is done and to counter public fears that a "coverup" has occurred when officers are found blameless. One of the most common means of achieving community involvement in the review process has been by the establishment of civilian review boards. Such boards are often given authority to oversee police practices generally and to recommend improvements in departmental policies, as well as to deal with specific cases of alleged misconduct.

In too many cases civilian review boards have been ineffective, owing to their members' lack of expertise in law enforcement and investigative processes, insufficient authority, and/or inadequate staff and support. In addition, they have been the frequent targets of political pressures, manipulation by police departments, and vociferous opposition by police unions and fraternal associations. When designing a means for citizen oversight of the police function, community leaders should be mindful of these problems and guard against them to the extent possible in any system that may be adopted.

Nevertheless, the Commission believes that an opportunity should be provided for an appeal from the decisions of a police chief. One possibility that the Commission is exploring is granting the right of immediate appeal to the appropriate court. In connection with this exploration, we are look-

43Ibid., p. 487; Goldstein, p. 175.
ing at the possibility of the court's being empowered to appoint immediately a review board that would operate under the jurisdiction of the court and would be able to conduct immediately its own investigation, subpoena witnesses and documents, and take testimony under oath. Provision would also be made for an award to the prevailing party of costs and attorney's fees incurred in pursuing the appeal.

Appropriate disciplinary action is the indispensable final stage of the process. Proven officer misconduct must be punished equitably without delay. Sanctions must be certain and their degree must be commensurate with the seriousness of the offense. Nothing less will accomplish the goals of correcting the deterring officer misconduct or the abuse of authority. The result will be improved public confidence in the police agency.

44As previously noted, civil service rules that hinder effective discipline must be revised. See p. 12, above.
III. CONCLUSION

Patterns of police misconduct and resulting public distrust cannot be changed overnight, but recent events in Miami and elsewhere demonstrate that the Nation cannot afford further delay. It is clear that the Federal Government has a significant role to play by demonstrating that it has both the commitment and the capacity to intervene in local situations when officials are turning their backs on the civil rights of our people. We believe that the adoption and implementation of our recommendations for Federal action will lead to increased vigilance at the local level. Many of the minimal standards the Commission has recommended in this statement for implementation at the local level were proposed more than a decade ago and would go far toward easing community tensions and improving police services. These should be the goals of every police agency in the Nation. Adoption and implementation of these standards, together with strong public leadership, will promote professionalism in law enforcement and public trust in and support for our system of justice.